

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/008,470	12/01/2001	Natan E. Tiefenbrun	2649.11	9389
5514 75	590 06/25/2003			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			EXAMINER	
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ROCKEFELLER PLAZA SW YORK, NY 10112		RONES, CHARLES	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2175	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
•			DATE MAILED: 06/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•	. n .
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	M
	10/008,470	TIEFENBRUN ET AL.	/
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charles L. Rones	2175	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a inflict of reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stationary and the provided by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirty iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication	n.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	<u> 1 December 2001</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und Disposition of Claims			is
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.	•	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	Irawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers	·		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami		Francisco	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 3/2/102 is/are: a) ☐ ac			
Applicant may not request that any objection to 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in		sapproved by the Examiner.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eian priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		(1) (a) (a) or (i).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		polication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a I	riority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage	
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	·	•	tion).
a) The translation of the foreign language	provisional application has be	en received.	,
Attachment(s)	•		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Ir	summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 10/008,470

Art Unit: 2175

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

New corrected drawings are required in this application because the corrected drawings filed on March 21, 2002 have figures with boxes and lines outside the margin or too close to the edge of the page. See Figs. 2A and 8-11. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-6 and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hind et al. U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0069900 ('Hind').

Hind discloses:

Application/Control Number: 10/008,470

Art Unit: 2175

As to claim 1,

selecting, by a user, the attributes in accordance with the user's preference; See [0021-0026]; [0035-0038]; and

creating the tree in accordance with the selected attributes; See [0021-0026]; [0035-0038].

As to claim 2,

comprising the step of displaying the tree; See [0046]; [0057-0059].

As to claim 3,

wherein when one of the tree nodes is selected by the user, all of the objects associated with at least that node are also displayed; See [0046]; [0057-0059]; [0063]; [0066]; [0068].

As to claim 4,

step of associating a new object with one of the tree nodes; See [0057-0059].

As to claim 5,

the step of associating a modified object with one of the tree nodes; See [0057-0059].

Art Unit: 2175

As to claim 6,

werein a node is added to the tree when an object requiring that node has been added or modified; See [0057-0059].

As to claim 8,

wherein the user selects a node to operate upon the objects associated with the selected node; See [0060-0063]; [0066].

As to claim 9,

wherein the user selects two or more nodes to operate upon all objects associated with the selected nodes; See [0060-0063]; [0066].

As to claim 10,

wherein the attributes maybe inherent or derived; See [0060-0063]; [0066].

As to claim 11,

associating the plurality of objects with the node, each object having a plurality of attributes, wherein the objects associated with any one of the nodes is a superset of objects associated with lower nodes; See [0046]; [0057-0063]; and

applying a filter to each lower node in successive fashion so that only those objects contained in a higher node that have an attribute matching the node attribute are displayed; See [0046]; [0057-0063].

Application/Control Number: 10/008,470

Art Unit: 2175

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hind et al. U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0069900 ('Hind') in view of Kothuri et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,470,344 ('Kothuri').

As to claim 7,

Hind discloses the claimed invention except for wherein a node is deleted when objects requiring that node no longer exist. Kothuri teaches that it is known to provide wherein a node is deleted when objects requiring that node no longer exist. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide wherein a node is deleted when objects requiring that node no longer exist as taught by Kothuri, since Kothuri states at column 19, lines 4-43 that such a modification would allow empty, under-filled or inefficient nodes to be deleted for more efficient operation.

Page 6

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles L. Rones whose telephone number is 703-306-3030. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dov Popovici can be reached on 703-305-3830. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3800.

Charles L. Rones Primary Examiner Art Unit 2175

June 21, 2003